#	Clause	Query	BCPL REPLY
1	Page - 4:"BCPL desires unlock the value of the assests by a suitable joint development model, with other Public Sector Companies."	In Page 4, the para mentions the objective of project is to unlock the value of the land parcels by developing them with other PSUs. In page 6 though, the scope of work mentions the utilization of land using various PPP models.	
	Page - 6: Scope of Work - "Bengal Chemicals & Pharmaceuticals Ltd Restructuring will involve different type of PPP models"	Request clarification whether BCPL wishes to explore joint development of the land along with a PSU only or along with private companies as well.	Only PSU
2	Page - 4:"The Technical Bid should be accompanied by Earnest Money Deposit (EMD) in the form of DD drawn in favour of M/s. BENGAL CHEMICALS & PHARMACEUTICALS LTD. Payable at KOLKATA for a sum of Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand	Request clarification whether the EMD is refundable or not.	Refundable
	only)"	In case it is non-refundable, request BCPL to consider reducing the amount of the EMD to Rs. 20,000/-	EMD amount will remain Rs. 50,000 /-
	Page - 6: Scope of Work The restructuring will involve different type of PPP models for:	Request clarification whether the project structuring for the three land parcels will be limited to the ones mentioned in the scope of work section of the tender document as the financial bid will be decided by the consultants factoring in the same.	Yes
3		The sale of land/co-development of land would be subject to income-tax implications. Whether the transaction advice would cover providing the implications under the Income-tax Act,1961 ('Act')?	Yes
	2. Co-development of 20 acres of land available at (One Parcel) Panihati with affront cash generation	Further, whether the scope would include-	
	3. Co-development of 1.6 acres of land available at (one parcel) Mumbai with affront cash	(a) Evaluating option(s) to undertake the codevelopment activities in a tax efficient manner?; and	Yes
	generation.	(b) Implementing the option finalized from incometax perspective viz. review of development agreement from income-tax perspective, set-up of separate entity if required from income-tax perspective etc.	No

	Page - 6: Minimum Eligibility Criteria Experience	We would request BCPL to reconsider the minimum value of the transaction advisory contract to at least 3 acre of land/ or 100 crore of value.			No change
4	. Proof of 1(one) minimum transction advisory contract for land asset utilixation of at least 20 acre of land/ or 200 crore of value	Further, in a situal worked on multiple under the same coone consolidated transction can be transactions for evaluations	e land transaction intract, will it be o transaction or oe considered	No change	
5	Page - 6: Minimum Eligibility Criteria Man Power	We would like to clarify that we are not allowed to provide legal advisory services. Hence we will have to engage an independent legal firm. In respect of all legal issues, the advice provided by the legal consultant will have to be relied upon. However, their fees will be included in our fees and no separate payment will have to be made to the legal consultant. Please clarify if we can form a consortium with a legal firm for the same.			Yes
	Should have professionals with expertise in legal, company secretarial, project financing, infrastructure planning etc. to ensure that these inputs are made available to the projects under one roof.	We would like to further clarify that similar arrangements exist for various transaction advisory works executed by us including land monetization transaction advisory services for government entities.			
		Based on our past experience in such projects we would request the BCPL to revise the payment schedule as below:			No change
		Deliverables	Existing Portion of Payment(%)	Revision Suggested (%)	No change
6	Page - 7: Milestones and	Submission of Draft Technical Report	20%	30.00%	No change
	payment Schedule	Submission of Financial Technical Report	10%	10.00%	No change
		Submission of NIT and RFQ documents	15%	15%	No change

1 1		1		I	
		Submission of Bid Evaluation Report & Recommendations of RFQ evaluation	15%	10%	No change
		Submission of RFP and Draft Development Agreement	15%	15%	No change
		Submission of Bid Evaluation Report & Recommendations of RFP Evaluation	10%	10%	No change
		Assistance during signing of development agreement with the successful developer	15%	10%	No change
	Page - 10: Annexure II Finan bid Form	for the three land p quote.	•	•	
7		Request clarification for the three land pearing on the eval consultants in case land parcels gets exe	parcels separetely uation and the pathe transactions	will have any yment to the for different	Only lumpsum quotes for all transactions
	Page- 11: Technical & Financial Evaluation Methodology			-	
	(Transaction Advisory consultancy for Land asset tutilization) Each party will t	Request clarification that in case a consultant has completed transaction advisory for multiple land assets for the same client under a single contract, can those be treated as separate credentials for marking purpose as each transaction advisory is for a separate land parcel.			Yes each contact under same client cary one marke each
8	Govt. projects successfully f	Request clarification whether different projects completed for the same entity will be treated as separate credentials for marking or not.			Yes
	A a	lso, will any project co pplicable or only transac pplicable.	•		Projects/ Transaction advisory

9	Project Team: Financial Expert- To work in detail the project structure. (10 years) Valuation Expert - To arrive at the value of land parcels. (10 years)	Request that the two proposed positions be combined into a single expert - Financial Expert	Separately and cannot be combined
10		In the tender document, there Is no limitation on our liability. We would request BCPL to limit the transaction advisor's liability to one time the fees it will receive from BCPL under this mandate as the project is not success fee based.	Yes limited to the fee
11		A tentative timeline of 18weeks has been indicated in the EOI document. We feel that is a very tight timeline, which is difficult to implement. We request you to increase the time period for each activity and clearly indicate whether the total time period is exclusive of internal approval time at BCPL and allied govt. agencies.	Changed to 24 weeks
		The time period for managing the Bid Process and engaging developer can show wide variation due to multiple factors as evident from numerous similar assignments undertaken by us in the past. This poses significant risk to our firm.	
12		Therefore, we will initially conduct one round of bidding for these locations. In case, there is lack of response on the part of bidders, a maximum of two rebids will be carried out. If there is no response even after the two rebids, the process will be deemed complete for those locations.	3 Weeks
13		We would like to mention here that all contracts that are negotiated with clients are subject to internal risk approvals of KPMG. We generally conduct business with clients as per our general Terms of Business or Client Contract document that has been vetted by our risk team.	No it is as per BCPL terms & conditions
14	In the RFP Document on page no 4 it has been mentioned that Earnest Money Deposit of Rs. 50,000 in the form of Demand Draft should be accompained with the Technical Bid.	Whether EMD is refundable (In case of unsuccessful & successful bidder)	Yes refundable in case of unsuccessful bidder
15	Demand Draft of Rs. 50,000/- should be drawn on which bank?	Is it on Scheduled Bank or on Nationalised Bank? Kindly Clarify	Only for Scheduled Bank
16	Partner Identification from Public Sector Organisation Proof of Capability (Each Proof of Partnership will carry 5 Marks)	What type of Proof we have to provide? Kindly Clarify	Order Copies

17	Attachment list if any	Which list we have to showcase? Kindly Claify	
18		Introduction PP-Model(only with PSUS)	
19		Asking to make project with private company	
20		outrite sale for 5 acres parcel	
21		Asking about their transaction advisor experinces	
22		Time frame of development of land	
23		To eveluate the abidding process from two bid system to single bid system (RFP & RFQ) requesting to merge the process to get better result.	No two bid system
24		They want to change the time frame (in technical & Financial methodology)	
25		They want to reduce the minimum qualification criteria from 20 acres & 200 crores.	No
26		They want to extend the date if we make any correngundum	Yes
27		If the project is on going then how you can determine the value of the project	
28		There will be huge income tax so you should introduce an income tax consultant	No transaction advisor
29		Govt. department should be allowed & considered as PSU.	Yes
30		Requesting to allow consortium formation for these specialized advisory services.	